

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/618,132		07/11/2003	Ming Che Wu	62188.011200 4425	
45599	7590	12/22/2004		EXAMINER	
GREENBE	RG TRA	URIG LLP	MAI, HUY KIM		
MET LIFE B	UILDIN	G			
200 PARK A	VENUE		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
NEW YORK	, NY 10	0166	2873		

DATE MAILED: 12/22/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

, es			
·	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Advisory Action	10/618,132	WU, MING CHE	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
· ·	Huy K. Mai	2873	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 06 December 2004 FAILS TO PLACE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1 condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appear Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	void abandonment of this applice) a timely filed amendment whi	cation. A proper re-	ply to a cation in
PERIOD FOR RE	PLY [check either a) or b)]		
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing do b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advive event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later that ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The dathave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extens 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three moleanned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	isory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the SIX MONTHS from the mailing date or FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THIS on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 sion and the corresponding amount of the statutory period for reply originally set in	f the final rejection. E FINAL REJECTION. \$ 36(a) and the appropriate ex fee. The appropriate ex the final Office action; or	See MPEP e extension fee tension fee under (2) as set forth in
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFI			
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:	•	
 (a)	pelow);	,	simplifying the
(d) they present additional claims without cancel	ing a corresponding number of	finally rejected clain	ms.
NOTE: The proposed amendment would require	a different combination in the rejec	etion.	
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection	tion(s):		
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a s	eparate, timely file	d amendment
5. ☑ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☑ request for application in condition for allowance because: See	r reconsideration has been cons <u>e Continuation Sheet</u>	sidered but does NO	OT place the
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	cause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which we	re newly
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we			and an
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:			
Claim(s) allowed:			
Claim(s) objected to:		,	
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1,4-8,11</u> .			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:		·	
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) app	roved or b) disapproved by	the Examiner.	
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemen	nt(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	•	
10 Other	•	A 4	·

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 11-03) Huy Mai Primary Examiner Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: It is not agreed with the applicant's opinion "It appears that due to there being raised rib...". The applicant does not show the patentaly distinct features and point out the reasons why his upper and lower clamping plates generating substantially no bending force, but the Chao's does.